

TL

teacher | librarian

The Journal for School Library Professionals

JUNE 2017

VOLUME 44 | NUMBER 5

Action Research:

Cynthia Keller sets up action research as an advocacy tool.

Literary Landmarks: Honoring Local Writers

Literary maps to honor local authors and showcase community literary celebrities.

The Art of Learning

Timothy Horan conducts in-depth interviews with the top three graduating seniors, which reveal fascinating strategies for success.

From Reluctant Readers to Library Regulars

Jeff Treistman shares the strategies he uses to connect one-on-one with middle school students in working to turn self-identified non-readers into readers.





CONT

Volume 44
Number 5
June 2017

FEATURES

8

Action Research: Your School Library, Your Timetable, Your Local Challenge by Cynthia Keller

Keller sets up action research as an advocacy tool. She elaborates on four steps, established by authors Sagor and Williams (2017), for carrying out action research: clarifying the vision, articulating the theory, researching needed information, and reflecting upon and acting on results. The author emphasizes the importance of involving stakeholders in each step along the way.

12

Literary Landmarks: Honoring Local Writers by Joyce Kinkead and Marissa Allen

Literary maps are a way to honor local authors and showcase community literary celebrities. Kinkead and Shirley share their journey in creating a Poetry Path brochure honoring their local poet celebrity, May Swenson. Creating literary landmarks offers opportunities for librarians and classroom teachers to collaborate for student research as they write about local literary figures and design literary maps for community use.

17

The Art of Learning: Three Students Share Their Secrets of Success by Timothy Horan

Horan conducts in-depth interviews with the top three graduating seniors, which reveal fascinating strategies for success. Each of the three girls credits the crucial role of strong family involvement, hard work, sophisticated organization strategies, and a unique way of self-differentiating approaches to variations in course content.

21

She's Just Not That Intuit by Robin Overby Cox

Cox views the library as a laboratory for literacy and intuition. She looks at three typical students, examining their unique abilities and core strengths as readers to help promote reading growth. Readers who need help and readers who don't come through the library doors, and an understanding of intuition may help reach and teach all readers.

25

From Reluctant Readers to Library Regulars: A Teacher Librarian's Intervention Plan by Jeff Treistman

Teacher librarian Jeff Treistman shares the strategies he uses to connect one-on-one with middle school students in working to turn self-identified non-readers into readers. He spent time getting to know each student's personal interests and their individual impediments to reading. An initial interview with each student includes a personality inventory after which he selects individual reading material, while helping students understand that reading can involve a broad variety of formats.

28

Picture Books for Library Advocacy: Using Children's Literature to Nurture Library Lovers by Catherine A. Smith

Picture books provide opportunities for teacher librarians to highlight the school library program as well as share details of school libraries around the world. Smith shares both fiction and nonfiction suggested titles to read aloud or display, and she discusses ways in which to use this approach as a unique advocacy strategy to elicit support from even the youngest readers in the school.

34

Tips & Tactics Column: A Teacher Librarian and a Digital Learning Leader: What Twitter Lunch and Learn Taught Us by Kelly Hoppe and Patti Eaves

High school librarian, Kelly Hoppe, and Digital Learning Leader, Patti Eaves, collaborated to provide teachers with professional development training on Twitter in the school library during their school lunch hour. The article describes lessons learned as well as the advantages of collaboration for implementing professional development training.

EVENTS

ADVISORYBOARD

Susan Ballard
sdballard@comcast.net
Granite State College/University System
of NH, Concord, NH

Jennifer Boudrye
jennifer.boudrye@dc.gov
District of Columbia Public Schools,
Washington, DC

Anita Brooks
anitabk@bythebrooks.ca
University of Toronto, Ontario, BC

Michele Farquharson
mfarquhars@vsb.bc.ca
Kerrisdale Elementary, Vancouver, BC

Kristin Fontichiaro
font@umich.edu
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

Lyn Hay
lyn_hay@live.com
Syba Academy, Charles Sturt University,
Canberra, AUS

Tara Jones
jonesta@bsd405.org
International School, Bellevue, WA

Sara Kelly Johns
skjohns@gmail.com
Consultant, Presenter, Saranac Lake, NY

Doug Johnson
doug0077@gmail.com
Burnsville-Eagan-Savage Public Schools,
Burnsville, MN

Debra Kachel
dkachel69@comcast.net
Schoollibraryadvocacy.org, Wales, PA

Keith Curry Lance
keithlance@comcast.net
RSL Research Group, Louisville, CO

Marcia Mardis
mmardis@fsu.edu
Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL

Jo Ellen Priest Misakian
jmisakian@fresno.edu
Fresno Pacific University, Fresno, CA

Mark Ray
mark.ray.vps@gmail.com
Vancouver Public Schools, Vancouver, WA

Ann Carlson Weeks
acweeks@umd.edu
University of Maryland, MD

BOOK & MEDIA REVIEWS

9 Junior Nonfiction
Sara Catherine Howard

11 YA Nonfiction
Sara Catherine Howard

19 YA Fiction
Kathleen Odean

23 YA Fiction
Kathleen Odean

54 Junior Fiction
Betty Winslow

58 Junior Fiction
Betty Winslow

38 Professional Reviews
David V. Loertscher
Resources for the Teacher Librarian

40 Apps and Website Reviews
Annette Lamb
History, Science, and the Arts

COLUMNS

6 Notebook
Deborah Levitov and Christie Kaaland
Using the Power of Social Media

44 What Works
David V. Loertscher
**Microdocumentation of the Impact of
Teacher Librarians on Teaching and
Learning**

48 Advocacy
Debra Kachel
**Status Report: State Legislation
Requiring School Librarians**

53 Library Makerspaces
Kristin Fontichiaro
**Need Maker Equipment?
Write a Compelling Grant**

56 InfoTech
Annette Lamb
**What's in Your Pocket?
A Decade of Interactive Online Tools
for School Libraries**

61 Personal Computing
Reid Goldsborough
Understanding Facebook's News Feed

63 Our Columnists

63 Advertiser Index

Feature articles are blind refereed by
members of the Advisory Board.

Guidelines for authors available online at:
www.teacherlibrarian.com

is committed to collaborative partnerships for improved student learning through thought-provoking and challenging feature articles, strategies for effective advocacy, regular review columns, and critical analyses of management and programming issues.

Publisher Edward Kurdyla
Editor Deborah Levitov
Associate Editor Christie Kaaland

COLUMNISTS

Reid Goldsborough, Sara Catherine Howard, Debra Kachel, Fran Kompar, Annette Lamb, David Loertscher, Kathleen Odean, Betty Winslow

EDITORIAL OFFICES TEACHER LIBRARIAN
The Journal for School Library Professionals

Box 958
Bowie, MD 20718-0958

Phone: 301-805-2191

Fax: 301-805-2192

E-mail: editor@teacherlibrarian.com

Web site: www.teacherlibrarian.com

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVE

The YGS Group,
3650 West Market Street • York, PA 17404
717-505-9701
E-mail: info@theysgroup.com

DESIGN AND LAYOUT

Enterline Design Services, LLC
www.enterlinedesign.com

PERMISSIONS

Requests to reproduce items beyond a single copy require permission from the publisher. Send requests to: publisher@teacherlibrarian.com.

SUBSCRIPTIONS

\$60 per year. Bulk rates to one address available.

Subscriptions, Teacher Librarian

Box 958 • Bowie, MD 20718-0958

Phone: 301.805.2191 • Fax: 301.805.2192

Subscription inquiries e-mail:

subscriptions@teacherlibrarian.com

Or subscribe online at: www.teacherlibrarian.com

Teacher Librarian is owned by
E L Kurdyla Publishing LLC.

U.S. POSTMASTER: Teacher Librarian (ISSN 1481-1782 USPS #9-407) (Publications Mail Registration Number 40028609) is published bimonthly except July/August for \$60.00 per year by E L Kurdyla Publishing LLC, Box 958, Bowie, MD 20718-0958. Periodicals postage paid at Bowie, MD, and at additional mailing offices.

ADDRESS CORRECTIONS: Send address corrections (covers only) to Subscriptions, Teacher Librarian, Box 958, Bowie, MD 20718-0958.

All rights reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced without written permission.
Not responsible for unsolicited editorial material.
Copyright © 2017 by E L Kurdyla Publishing, LLC.

NOTEBOOK



Using the Power of Social Media

Deborah Levitov and Christie Kaaland

As seen through the political lens over the past few years, social media provide powerful tools for communicating political issues, political action, and political policy changes. They also offer powerful advocacy and communication tools for teacher librarians.

Recently EveryLibrary (<http://action.everylibrary.org/>) crafted a series of Tweets, which they shared, asking librarians of all kinds to retweet (see the example below). This was in reaction to a Tweet posted by Ivanka Trump in support of libraries at a time when her father, President Trump, had shared proposed cuts to federal funding for libraries. These efforts by EveryLibrary exemplify how powerful statements can be pushed out at a rapid rate and reach a vast audience quickly while serving to inform and educate the public about specific issues.



EveryLibrary Verified account @EveryLibrary Apr 13

More

Replying to @EveryLibrary @IvankaTrump

Millions of Americans use libraries to learn new skills or get training for better jobs. saveimls.org

Teacher librarians can follow this lead and capitalize on the power of social media through their established school library Facebook pages or Twitter accounts. They can share what is happening in their school library with families, community members, students, teachers, and administrators. Social media offers an inside look at the library and is a way to showcase what students are learning, reading, and doing in the

Microdocumentation of the Impact of Teacher Librarians on Teaching and Learning

David V. Loertscher

There always seems to be a worry across the profession about gaining and then holding jobs in a fluctuating educational market. As specialists in any school, teacher librarians are vulnerable—as are others such as art and music teachers—to layoffs at the slightest dip in the budget. I and others in the field regularly address the topic of indispensability—the idea that when push comes to shove, we are not the only employees of the school trying to hold our positions. Some argue that only through legislation can this happen. I argue that those who clearly demonstrate their indispensability are those who are most likely to keep their jobs. By indispensable, I mean those who have made a concerted effort to stay relevant in the expansive information landscape and the technological revolution. But one factor has remained constant for decades: Those who have learned to partner with teachers and coteach learning experiences with classroom teachers are those who are the most highly respected among their faculty colleagues and administrators.

We have the large research studies from the great Keith Curry Lance and Ross Todd that so many of us have touted over the years, yet often folks want to know if what teacher librarians are doing makes a difference. Do any of your activities affect the quality of teaching and learning and the resulting impact on student learning?

Some years ago, when I was doing many workshops around the country where both teachers and teacher librarians were in attendance, I kept noticing a common thread of comments by the teachers in the audience. The ad slogan “Things go better with Coke” kept being repeated by teachers as, “Things go better with my librarian.” Better how? When? How much better? Those

questions finally resulted in a study I conducted in 12 schools and published in *Teacher Librarian* (Loertscher, 2014).

The results surprised even me. Two thousand-two hundred teachers reported that when they taught a learning experience in the classroom alone, about half of the students met or exceeded their expectations. In those same schools, when coteaching happened between teachers and teacher librarians, 70% to 100% of the students met or exceeded both adult’s expectations. Really? That powerful? I think that study needs to be replicated widely and in at least 100 schools, and here is how you can help.

First, we need to decide what it is that we do that would make such a major difference in a learning experience. We could eliminate many actions as improbable, for example:

- We circulate books regularly.
- We booktalk books.
- We teach students how to search a database and then send them back to the classroom not knowing if they used those skills in their research projects.
- We provide space in the library learning commons for students to use any time during the school day.
- We are careful catalogers of our collections.
- We put a list of tips on how to do research on our library website.

Such activities, while common, would not likely have the power to make a substantial difference.

Ross Todd said to me recently, “Propose a technique known as microdocumentation of impact.” To me, this means concentrate not on the aggregate scores on standardized tests across all students; rather, document impact at the microlevel of the classroom—learning unit by learning unit. In other words, what difference did you make in a learning experience when you folded into the teacher’s objectives your rich information world and the clever use of technology that might boost learning?

Fran Kompar in Connecticut, who works with a number of school districts who are adopting the learning commons model said to me, “Superintendents want to know the specific things that teacher librarians contribute to a learning experience.” So we invented the LIIITE Model (pronounced as Light Model). Here are the six specific things teacher librarians can embed in a learning experience:

1. Literacy
2. Information
3. Inquiry and Discovery
4. Instructional Design
5. Technology
6. Expertise

Let's go deeper into specifics with examples of each of the strategies that might make a major difference in a particular learning experience (CT = classroom teacher; TL = teacher librarian).

LITERACY CUTTING EDGE builds skills and enjoyment including wide reading, digital production, and citizenship across many types of media and information formats and devices.

The TL and CT realize that the topic at hand will require the learners to build considerable background knowledge to be successful in the main project. They assemble a range of their favorite resources but also invite students to discover more. Instead of a one-size-fits-all textbook chapter, every student has a number of choices of background reading, viewing, and listening that they consume both as homework and in sharing the best sessions with their peers. The adults recognize that the students have generated substantive questions and are prepared to create their own projects. Perhaps we call this strategy "personalized reading/viewing/listening," since we end up with many levels of difficulty, languages, and interest levels.

While reading, viewing, and listening to information resources, the CT and TL introduce the learners to mind mapping to help them draw pictures of the major ideas they are encountering. The students use their mind maps during small group discussions mentored

by both adults. The adults note that pictures often help English language learners join in the conversation much more easily. A much higher number of learners exhibit deeper learning of complex texts and ideas.

INFORMATION CUTTING EDGE helps learners discover high-quality print and digital resources across the global network.

While doing an inquiry project, the TL and CT encourage the learners to get very picky about what they read/view as they are exploring many resources. For each source the students choose, they need to justify their selection and tell why they rejected other sources. When examining the bibliographies used, the CT and TL note an improvement beyond just Wikipedia and random selections. In addition, the learners start to realize that there is wide choice in what they select, in addition to what the adults are suggesting.

As a part of the Big Think (Lortscher & Koechlin, 2009) activity after an inquiry project, the learners make judgements about information quality, fake news, propaganda, and who is saying what for what reason. Students who feel they have failed receive encouragement from their peers, so that the next time they do such a project, everyone will try to help everyone else be healthy skeptics.

INQUIRY AND DISCOVERY CUTTING EDGE coteaches inquiry projects from beginning to end; facilitates self-directed learning, making, and creativity.

During the introductory portion of a learning experience, the students get so interested in the relevance of the topic

that they start suggesting what they would like to learn. The CT and TL provide their prescriptive plans and allow individuals and small groups to do what they want. They end up with self-directed projects that are much better than what they were going to prescribe. The difference in motivation, success, and progress in both topical knowledge and soft skill development are very apparent.

At school lunch, a CT and TL happen to sit together for the first time, and the CT is telling the TL about this unit she is teaching and how boring it is to the students and all the behavior problems that are resulting. The TL says, "Suppose you bring the students into the library learning commons for this assignment? I'll come up with a couple of ideas over the weekend and let's eat lunch together on Monday and we will see what you think." On Monday, the TL presents two ideas, and on Tuesday the students find themselves in a makerspace with a very different idea about what they can do to help them learn about the original assignment. The result is not spectacular but certainly not any worse than was going on before. The adults decide to continue the conversation.

INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN CUTTING EDGE codesigns engaging learning experiences that combine content knowledge and learning how-to-learn skills.

Tired of the old bird projects, where students chose a bird, did research, and made oral presentations, the CT and TL create an umbrella question about the various characteristics birds have. After initial research, learners are asked to compare and contrast characteristics. As merged groups, they build

a giant wall collage of various birds with similar characteristics and act as docents on parent's night. The adult mentors note the difference in deeper learning about individual birds but also about birds in general.

The CT and TL have usually collaborated by taking turns: You teach this in the classroom, and I'll teach this in the library learning commons. After reading an article about the difference between turn-teaching and true partnering, they decide to both be mentors with the students at every stage of the unit. Their first trial is a bit awkward, but they soon learn how to create a symphony together. The percentage of students who meet or exceed both adults' expectations increases dramatically.

TECHNOLOGY CUTTING EDGE

codesigns engaging learning experiences that combine content knowledge and learning how to learn skills.

The CT and TL recently attended a workshop on using the Google Suite of tools with their classrooms. They are both intrigued with the idea of collaborative writing, thinking, designing, and editing that can be done in real time with groups of students. They decide to embed collaborative work into a unit they have done together previously to see if it makes a difference. Their first experience doesn't yield much, but after practice a few times with the same class, they see major improvements in how the students work together and the sophistication of the learning when compared to what they have seen before.

When faced with a science project and the CT has a class of many English language learners, they decide to test a couple of tech games designed to build

vocabulary. On the first day, for games in the library learning commons, they split the class into two groups. After 30 minutes of trial, they talk to the students and find out that one of the programs is fun and the other is boring. The second day, they abandon the boring program and pair up students so that they both get to work on the better program. The students are so interested in the adults respecting their opinions that their motivational level goes up and so does their vocabulary.

Previously, the CT had been using Google Classroom to give and grade assignments. Likewise, the TL had created suggestions for research on the library website. In conversation, they find that they are dissatisfied with the research products that the students have created. To work more efficiently, the CT makes the TL a collaborative partner in Classroom for that assignment. The new collaborative space combines the ideas of the two professionals, and the students discover that there is only one place to go for everything they need for their assignment. This simple change in the partnership makes a big difference in the outcome.

EXPERTISE CUTTING EDGE

contributes expertise to enhance teaching and learning through collaborative leadership.

After a Big Think with their students about a current project, the CT and TL realize that they could improve as adult mentors, so they decide to attend an upcoming professional development seminar together to see if they can get some fresh ideas.

When confronted with a biology project coming into the library learning commons, the TL recognizes that she knows very little about the topic of

the unit. She asks the teacher for some recommendations and does her homework before the scheduled project. The CT notices the difference as they mentor the students through the unit.

Hopefully, these examples demonstrate the potential of overlapping/merging the classroom with the library learning commons resources, technology, and most importantly, the professional staff.

HOW YOU CAN HELP

I would like to ask at least 100 schools across the United States and Canada to participate in a simple microdocumentation of cotaught learning experiences between classroom teachers and teacher librarians. The time investment is very brief and tries to model how building-level teacher librarians could track the impact of the library learning commons and its professional staff on teaching and learning.

DESCRIPTION OF THE RESEARCH

During the months of September or October 2017, the teacher librarian is asked to coteach one or more learning experiences with any classroom teacher in the school. Coteaching is defined as a learning experience where the two adults plan, teach, and assess a learning experience as partners. After the learning experience is over, both the classroom teacher and the teacher librarian would respond to a simple Google Form questionnaire that asks:

- School name
- Grade level of the unit
- Position (CT or TL)
- Title of the unit
- Very brief description of the unit

- How many students were in the learning experience
- How many of those students met or exceeded both adults' expectations
- Any comments about the experience
- Name and email if willing to be interviewed or clarification needed

More than one experience can be reported from any participant and the cooperating teacher. No identification of school or persons involved will be reported. And the response should take five minutes—or less.

In research terms, the unit of analysis is the classroom, not the whole school. The decision on how many students met or exceeded adults' expectations is based on the assessments that the adults did, whether by paper and pencil, by observation, or by any other measure of content learning of judgments about the soft skills. Because the unit of analysis is all the students in a classroom, there is no need for fancy statistics; simple percentages of success suffice. In effect, the researcher is looking for patterns across simple case studies. Those who participate in the research report will get a copy of the research report. Hopefully teacher librarians will find this simple measure something they can use all through the school year as they prepare their annual reports and do planning with administrators and the faculty. For example, the local report might address how many times during the year the classroom and the library learning commons merged to conduct a learning experience and what percent of the students met or exceeded the adult expectations. Is the result better than the research done in the previous

study where 2,200 teachers claimed that about half of the students met or achieved their expectations when they taught alone in the classroom? So what? And what's next?

Would we always expect success when coteaching happens? No. In the previous study, two schools reported problems. In an elementary school, there were so many school interruptions such as fire drills, snow days, etc., that the adults just gave up and moved on. In a high school, the language arts teacher expected sophomores to achieve college-level research paper quality in their first try. It didn't happen. The expectation bar can be set too high.

Do you want to be informed about this research project? Participate? Spread the word?

Please email David Loertscher at reader.david@gmail.com to be included.

REFERENCES

Loertscher, D. V. (2014). *Collaboration and coteaching: A new measure of impact*. Teacher Librarian, Bowie, MD. Teacher Librarian Press, 2015.

Loertscher, D. V., & Koechlin, C. *The big think: 9 metacognitive strategies that make the end just the beginning of learning*. Salt Lake City, UT. Learning Commons Press, 2009.

voya
voice of youth advocates



FOR
40 YEARS

the leading magazine for YA lit
reviews and library service to
teens and tweens



www.voyamagazine.com